## WORKSHEET ON EIGENVALUES AND EIGENVECTORS

## MATH 186-1

Definition 0.1. Suppose that T : Rn  $\rightarrow$  Rn is a linear transformation.1 A non-zero vector v  $\in$  Rn is called a eigenvector for T if there exists a number  $\lambda$  such that T(v) =  $\lambda v$ . In this case, the number  $\lambda$  is called an eigenvalue for T. 1. Fix  $\{u,v\}$  to be a basis for R2 and fix  $\{x,y,z\}$  to be a basis for R3. Given below are certain vectors and various linear transformations. In each case determine which vectors are eigenvectors and identify the associated eigenvalues. [ 2003]Trythe (a)SetT:R2→R2tobethelineartransformationrepresentedbythematrix vectors, u, v, u + v, and u - v u is an eigenvector with associated eigenvalue 2. v is an eigenvector with associated eigenvalue 3. The others are not eigenvectors. [ 0110]Trythe (b)SetT:R2 $\rightarrow$ R2tobethelineartransformationrepresentedbythematrix vectors u, v, u + v and u -v. u + v is an eigenvector with associated eigenvalue 1. u – v is an eigenvector with associated eigenvalue -1. The others are not eigenvectors. [ 0121]Trythe (c)SetT:R2 $\rightarrow$ R2tobethelineartransformationrepresentedbythematrix vectors u, v, u + v and u + 2v. u + 2v is an eigenvector with associated eigenvalue 2. The others are not eigen Ovectors. a00 Π (d)Set TR3→R3 tobethelineartransformat ionrepresentedbythematrix 0a00where a, bareall distinct constants. Try the vectors x, y, z, x+y, 3x -7yandx+y+z.

x, y, x+y, 3x = -7y are all eigenvectors associated with the eigenvalue a. z is an eigenvector with associated eigenvalue b. x + y + z is not an eigenvector.

<u>1</u> We will mostly be concerned with the case that n = 2

Find more worksheets at meta-calculator.com.

We'll now begin to develop a better method for identifying eigenvalues and eigenvectors than what we did on the previous page (guess and check). First fix some notation. We will use the letter I to denote the identity linear transformation. That is  $I : R2 \rightarrow R2$  is the map defined by the formula I(w) = w for all  $w \in R$ 2. Suppose that  $T : R2 \rightarrow R2$  is a linear transformation. Let's suppose that a vector w is an  $\cdot I - T$ )  $\cdot I$ eigenvector for T with associated eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Prove that the new linear transformation ( $\lambda$  is not injective. Here ( $\lambda$  T) is defined by the rule ( $\lambda \cdot I - T$ )(x) = T (x)  $-\lambda \cdot I(x)$  for all  $x \in R2$ . I'm going to assume that w is a non-zero vector. Eigenvectors are always assumed to be nonzero (I should have said this more clearly on the first page). Then

## $(\lambda \cdot I T)(w) = \lambda w - T(w) = \lambda w - \lambda w = 0.$

 $But(\lambda I T)(\underline{0})=0$  alsoso( $\lambda I T$ ) sendstwodifferent vectors to zero and so it is not injective.

3. With no

 $\label{eq:constraint} [tation] sinproblem #2, fixabasis \{u,v\} for R2. Assume that T is represented by the matrix , writedown a matrix representation of ($\lambda$\cdot I-T$). Finally writedown the the matrix of the matri$ 

determinant of this matrix you constructed (note that this determinant is a polynomial in the variable  $\lambda$ , it is called the characteristic pol

 $\label{eq:linear_static} [ynomialofthem]atrix).$  Thematrixrepresentationof(-  $\lambda I = T$ )is  $\begin{array}{c} \lambda - e & -f \\ -g \\ (\lambda \underline{e})(\underline{\lambda} \ h) + fg \end{array}$ . The determinant is

Remark 0.2. One can do something similar for 3 3 matrices. In particular, there is a determinant

× ×

of such matrices and you can construct the characteristic polynomial in the same way. Versions of the results on the following pages also hold for 3 3 matrices.

4. Suppose that k is a real number. Show that that k is a root of the polynomial from problem 3.

[ifandonlyifkisaneigenvalueforT.

<sup>a b</sup>,thenTisinjectiveifandonlyifad-bc6=0. Hint:In]thehomeworkyouturnedinyesterday,youshowedthataifTwasrepresentedbyamatrix

 $F[ixaba]sisu, v \in R2$  and  $fixal in eartransformation T: R2 \rightarrow R2$  represented by the matrix

ef justasinthepreviousproblem.

Suppose first that k is a root of the characteristic polynomial of this matrix. Then det(k  $\cdot I - T$ ) = 0  $\cdot I - T$ ) is not injective. But then there exists a non-zero w such that -T)(w) = 0 or and in particular, (k (kI) in other words kw = kI) (w) = T(w) which proves that w is an eigenvector

with associated eigenvalue k.

Conversely, suppose that kisaneigenvalue for the matrix [ e f ]. Then it has an associated

nonzero eigenvector w. Thus kw = T(w) and it follows (reversing the steps from above) that -T)(w) =  $(k\dot{I}^{-})$  0. But then det $(k\dot{I}^{-} - T) = (k - e)(k - h) + fg = 0$ . Thus k is a root of the

polynomial  $(\lambda - e)(\lambda - h) + fg$ .

heeigenvaluesofthelineartransformationsfromproblem#1(a),(b),(c).What's 5. Compute t stopping youfrom]computingtheeigenvaluesforthelineartransformationcorrespondingtothe 0 = 1[matrix -10?(Geometrically,remindyourselfwhatthislineartransformationdoes).

(a) The characteristic polynomial is  $(\lambda - 2)(\lambda - 3)$  and so the roots (and thus eigenvalues) are - 2 and 3.

(b) The characteristic polynomial is  $\lambda 2$  1 and so the roots (and thus eigenvalues) are -1 and - 1) 1

(c) The characteristic polynomial is  $\lambda(\lambda - 2 = \lambda 2 - \lambda - 2$  and so the roots (and thus eigenvalues) are 2 and -1.

(d) The characteristic polynomial is  $\lambda 2 + 1$ . Thus polynomial doesn't have any roots! Geomet-

rically, it corresponds to rotation by 90 degrees (and so geometrically, one would not expect

any eigenvectors either).

6. Can a linear transformation T : R2  $\rightarrow$  R2 have more than 2 distinct eigenvalues? Justify your answer.

No, the eigenvalues of T are always the roots of a polynomial equation of degree 2. Such equations can have at most 2 roots (although sometimes they can also have 1 root or zero roots).

 $\rightarrow$  R2 is a non-surjective linear transformation. Prove that  $\lambda$  = 0 is an

7. Suppose that T : R2

eigenvalue for T.

Since T is non-surjective, it is non-injective. Thus T(w) = T(w') for two distinct vectors w and w'. Then  $T(w) - T(w') \neq 0 = 0(w - w')$ . In particular, 0 is an eigenvalue for the eigenvector w

8. Suppose that  $T : R^2 \rightarrow R^2$  is a linear transformation. Further suppose that x, y  $\in R^2$  are linearly independent eigenvectors of T but they have the same eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Show that every vector in R2 is an eigenvector of T (associated to the same eigenvalue) and also that the characteristic polynomial of the matrix associated to T has a double-root at  $\lambda$ . What would it mean about T if  $\lambda = 0$ ?

Fix any vector  $w \in R2$ . Since x,y are linearly independent, they are a basis and so we can write w = ax + by. But then

T (w) = T (ax + by) = aT (x) + bT (y) = 
$$a\lambda x + b\lambda y = \lambda(ax + by) = \lambda w$$

as desired.

Now we show that the characteristic polynomial has a double root. We know that it has one root  $\lambda$  and so if we write the characteristic polynomial  $z^2 + dz + e$  with the variable z (other letters already seem to be used), then  $(z - \lambda)(z-???) = z^2 + dz + e$  using polynomial long division. Let us use the variable  $\gamma$  instead of ???. Then  $\gamma$  must be an eigenvalue with associated eigenvector W' = 0. But  $w' \in R^2$  so w' is also an eigenvector associated to  $\lambda$ . In other words  $\lambda w' = T(w') = \gamma w'$ .

This implies that $\gamma = \lambda$ . Finally if $\lambda = 0$ , then for any w = R2, T(w) = 0w = 0. In particu	[lar,Ti]sthelinear
$transformation that {\tt sendsallvectors} to 0. It is represented by the {\tt matrix}$	0 0
what basis you use.	nomatter

Now we turn to the question of finding the eigenvectors associated to a

ſ

thatT:R2→R2isalineartransformationrepresentedbyamatrix eigenvalue. To find the eigenvectors

[giɣęnei]genvalue.Suppose andthatλisan

Now expand the left side of the equation and obtain equations (viewing x and y as variables). Find any pair of x and y that satisfy those equations and you have found an eigenvector. Let us do an explicit example:

Example0.3.Suppose wear egiven the matrix

 $A = \begin{bmatrix} 12 \\ 43. By the method described above, \end{bmatrix}$ one can verify that the number 5 is we write [aneigenvalueofthelineartransformationassociatedtoA.So 1 2 j [ x y and the beft side is

[35x51 у  $\begin{bmatrix} x+2y \\ 4x+3y \end{bmatrix}$ .Sowehavethe Therightsideoftheequationisjust У equations x+2y=5x

 $\begin{bmatrix} 12 \\ 12 \end{bmatrix}$  isaneigenvector(asis  $\begin{bmatrix} -7 \\ -14 \end{bmatrix}$ v =2.Tkhus Whichreduces(ineithercase)to

9. Using this method, find the eigenvectors associated to the matrices from problem #1(a)(b)(c). Also, find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors associated to [ 12 ]

3 4 .Thislastoneisfairlymessy.

- [<sup>19</sup>] aretheeigenvectorsfortheeigenvalue2. (a) All scalar multip Allscalar [leso]fu= 01 aretheeigenvectorsfortheeigenvalue3. multiplesofv= [<sup>1</sup>] aretheeigenvectorsfortheeigenvalue1.Allscalar (b) All scalar multiples o [fu+v= <sup>1-1</sup>] aretheeigenvectorsfortheeigenvalue-1. multiplesofu-v=
- $u^{+2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$  are the eigenvectors for the eigenvalue 2. All scalar (c) Allscalarmultiplesof multiplesof-  $u + v = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \end{bmatrix}^{2}$  are the eigenvectors for the eigenvalue -1.
- (d) All scalar multiples of u + 3+  $33_{4}^{\sqrt{-1}}$  v =  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3+3}} \end{bmatrix}$  are the eigenvectors for the eigenvalue  $\frac{\sqrt{5}+33}{233}$ . Allscalarmultiples of  $u+3-\frac{33}{4}v=\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{334})\end{bmatrix}$  are the eigenvectors for the eigenvalue  $\frac{\sqrt{33}}{5}$ .